

No. 9(1)-82-6Lab./1208.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Executive Engineer, Haryana State Electricity Board, City Division, Karnal.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI HARI SINGH KAUSHIK, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 213 of 1981

between

SHRI KEWAL KRISHAN, WORKMAN AND THE RESPONDENT MANAGEMENT OF  
M/S EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, HARYANA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD, CITY  
DIVISION, KARNAL

Present.—

Shri D. P. Pathak, for the workman.

Shri S. S. Sarohi, for the respondent management.

#### AWARD

This reference No. 213 of 1981 has been referred to this court by the Hon'ble Governor of Haryana,—vide his order No. ID/KNL/35-81/35790, dated 30th July, 1981, under section 10 (i) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, existing between Shri Kewal Krishan, workman and the respondent-management of M/s Executive Engineer, Haryana State Electricity Board, City Division, Karnal. The term of the reference was.—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Kewal Krishan was justified and in order ?  
If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

After receiving this reference, notices were issued to the parties for 14th September, 1981. Both the parties appeared and filed their pleadings. On the pleadings of the parties, issues were framed on 7th October, 1981 and then the case was fixed for evidence of the respondent for 16th November, 1981. After taking two adjournments, the representative of the respondent made a statement on 14th January, 1982 that the respondent management was ready to take the workman on duty with continuity of service and period of his absence from duty would be treated as leave of the kind due. He further stated that the workman would be taken on duty on previous terms and conditions of his services. He also stated that the workman would resume his duty within one month. This statement of the representative of the respondent was duly accepted and agreed by the representative of the workman.

In the light of the above statement made by the parties, I hold that now there is no dispute between the parties to be adjudicated upon. This be read in answer to this reference. No orders as to costs.

HARI SINGH KAUSHIK,

Dated the 22nd January, 1982.

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 255, dated the 29th January, 1982

Forwarded (four copies) to the Commissioner and Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour & Employment Department, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

HARI SINGH KAUSHIK,

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Faridabad.